UNIT #1: WOMEN AND WAR
9/5: First Meeting
9/10: Bertolt Brecht, MOTHER COURAGE AND HER CHILDREN
9/12: THEATER OF WAR (John Walters Film)

9/17: George Bernard Shaw, SAINT JOAN
9/19: SAINT JOAN (Donmar Warehouse Production) (9:30AM)

9/24: Colleen Wagner, THE MONUMENT
9/26: Judith Thompson, PALACE OF THE END

10/1: Hannah Moscovitch, THIS IS WAR
10/3: Tony Kushner, HOMEBODY/KABUL

10/8: Evelyne de la Cheneliere, BASHIR LAZHAR
10/10: MONSIEUR LAZHAR (Phillipe Falardeau Film)

10/15: Test on Unit #1

UNIT #2: VISIONS OF THE JEW
10/17: Christopher Marlowe, THE JEW OF MALTA

10/22: S. Ansky, A DYBBUK: OR BETWEEN TWO WORLDS
10/24: Joseph Stein & Sheldon Harnick, FIDDLER ON THE ROOF

10/29: FIDDLER ON THE ROOF (Norman Jewison Film) (9AM)
10/31: THIS IS WAR Production Critique

11/5: Frances Goodrich & Albert Hackett, THE DIARY OF ANNE FRANK
11/7: Joshua Harmon, BAD JEWS

11/12-14 FALL BREAK – NO CLASS

11/19: MUNICH (Stephen Spielberg Film) (9AM)
11/21: Test on Unit #2

11/26: William Shakespeare, THE MERCHANT OF VENICE
11/28: THE MERCHANT OF VENICE (National Theatre Production) (9:45AM)

12/3: THE MERCHANT OF VENICE (Royal Shakespeare Production) (9:30AM)
12/5: MERCHANT OF VENICE Production Critique/Course Evaluations
PRODUCTIONS
THIS IS WAR
By Hannah Moscovitch
University of Calgary
October 18 – 26, 2019
Due Thursday, October 31, 2019

THE MERCHANT OF VENICE
By William Shakespeare
University of Calgary
November 28 – December 8, 2019
Due Thursday, December 5, 2019

ASSIGNMENTS/DETERMINATION OF THE FINAL GRADE
One Production Critique (c. 1500 words) 40%
In-Class Test on Unit #1 ................................. 30%
In-Class Test on Unit #2 ................................. 30%
100%

REQUIRED TEXTS
Brecht, Bertolt. MOTHER COURAGE AND HER CHILDREN, tr. E. Bentley. Grove.
George Bernard Shaw. SAINT JOAN. Penguin.
Moscovitch, Hannah. THIS IS WAR. Playwrights Canada.
Kushner, Tony. HOMEBODY/KABUL. Final Revised Version. TCG.
Text for BASHIR LAZHAR to be provided at no cost.

Marlowe, Christopher. THE JEW OF MALTA. Dover.
Ansky, S. A DYBBUK: OR BETWEEN TWO WORLDS, adapted by Tony Kushner.
Broadway Play Publishing.
Stein, Joseph & Sheridon Harnick. FIDDLER ON THE ROOF. Limelight.
Goodrich, Frances & Albert Hackett. THE DIARY OF ANNE FRANK, adapted by
Wendy Kesselman. Samuel French.
Harmon, Joshua. BAD JEWS. Samuel French.
Shakespeare, William. THE MERCHANT OF VENICE. Any text.

OFFICE HOURS
Wednesdays – 11AM to Noon – CHD204 (or by appointment)
Email: hblynn@ucalgary.ca
Office Phone: (403) 220-6452 (messages may be left on voicemail)
Drama 346. Seminar in Drama I (4S-0) 3 Units
Critical examination of plays performed in Drama's season; staging requirements for contemporary productions and other works by the same authors and their contemporaries may also be studied.
Prerequisite(s): Drama 243

Fall 2019: TuTh 10:00AM - 11:50AM  Craigie Hall E002  Instructor: Heery Lynn

COURSE LEARNING OUTCOMES
By the completion of this course, successful students will:
1) have been exposed to the analysis of additional bodies of dramatic literature/theatre history, as well as examples of their performance in theatre and related media.
2) have gained additional and advanced practice in writing persuasive arguments both at home and in class, under the pressure of time.
3) have gained additional and advanced exposure to methods of production analysis.
4) have gained additional and advanced practice in the writing of production critiques.

Formatting of assignments should conform to the most recent edition of the MLA HANDBOOK FOR WRITERS OF RESEARCH PAPERS.

GRADING SCALE
Grades for all termwork components will be given in the numerical scale as follows:

90-100.......... A+  - Outstanding.
85-89.......... A  - Excellent – superior performance, showing comprehensive understanding of subject matter.
80-84.......... A-  
75-79.......... B+  
70-74.......... B  - Good – clearly above average performance, with knowledge of subject matter generally complete.
65-69.......... B-  
60-64.......... C+  
55-59.......... C  - Satisfactory – basic understanding of subject matter.
50-54.......... C-  
45-49.......... D+  
40-44.......... D  - Minimal pass – marginal performance; generally insufficient preparation for subsequent courses in the same subject.
00-39.......... F  - Fail – unsatisfactory performance or failure to meet course requirements.

The final course grade will be awarded in the University of Calgary letter-grade which most closely approximates the numerical average in the termwork components.
GUIDELINES FOR PRODUCTION CRITIQUES:

Some assumptions:

1. Broadly speaking, I believe there are no valid universal rules or methods for analyzing a work of art. Each work of art is unique and determines, by its very uniqueness, its own special needs for analysis. Generic forms of art may have similarities, but ultimately each work is distinguished by its special combination of form and content.

2. Each person is unique, and each person may well respond to and interpret a work of art differently. Similarities may be shared by people of like taste, historical eras may be characterized by common critical values, but ultimately critical analysis is an individual and very creative act.

3. It follows from the first two points, then, that there are no true absolutes in either art or criticism. A work of art, for example, must not necessarily contain any particular quality nor meet any particular external standard. But for purposes of this course, I will set certain criteria which are generally, but not universally (I dare say), agreed upon by members of our culture and historical era:

   a) A work of art should be clear (lucid, comprehensible, intelligible, etc.). The work may make use of ambiguity or contradiction or paradox, but the formulation or presentation of these elements should be clear to a reasonably perceptive person.

   b) A work of art should be consistent (unified, cohesive, organic, etc.).

   c) A work of art should be effective (moving, funny, interesting, vivid, etc.).

4. The production of a play exists independently from its textual source as a unique work of art. Thus, the critical “truth” of a written text may be said to be the sum of its past, present, and future theatrical representations.

As a result of the above assumptions, when discussing a theatrical production, do not measure the success of the production by the extent to which it agrees with your own vision or interpretation of the text. Do not measure the success of the production by the extent to which it accords with the interpretation we may agree upon, if we do, in class. We study the texts and surrounding materials beforehand in class so that we may be more informed about and sensitive to the possibilities of their production and not so as to form rigid preconceptions. There are thus no “correct” interpretations of plays. Rather, measure the success of the production by the standards of clarity, consistency, and effectiveness -- or, in conventional theatrical jargon, did the production “work”?

In your critiques, deal with the following questions:

1. What was the theatrical interpretation given to the textual material? Was it a “conservative” or traditional realization of the material -- something very “true to text” or very faithful to the playwright’s perceived intentions in the work? Was it a “radical” reworking or revisionist reading of the text, with the director imposing his/her own unique ideas on the text in place of the playwright’s ideas? Or was the interpretation something in between these two extremes -- something which offered both traditional and revisionist elements? Was there new material added to the text? Were there significant cuts to the text? What aspects of the textual material were emphasized? What aspects were
diminished or even completely ignored? How was the text adapted to the demands of the chosen performance space? Be sure in this regard that you are comparing the production with the text and describing how the director interpreted the text in terms of its theatrical realization. Do not confuse this issue with the playwright’s philosophy or interpretation of life in the text, as this material would be apparent in any “true to text” production.

Give clear descriptive production data to demonstrate how the directorial interpretation was realized. If you saw no comprehensible interpretation (and this happens!), say why this was so (see next paragraph). But try not to evaluate or “judge” the experience either positively or negatively before you have first offered a detailed and fair-minded descriptive analysis of the experience. You must, in a sense, earn your right to “judge” the experience by first demonstrating that you understood what the production actually achieved or at least was trying to achieve in its interpretation of the text.

2. Was the interpretation consistently expressed? If the directorial interpretation seemed incomprehensible (as per above paragraph), it was probably because of gross inconsistencies in the theatrical realization. Give clear descriptive production data to demonstrate the unity or disunity of the production, mindful that even in very well thought-out productions, there may be minor elements that do not seem completely cohesive (perfection is a rare commodity). In this as in other aspects of your work, you should always strive for fairness and balance.

3. Was the interpretation effectively expressed? This is a rather subjective component of the assignment. For grading purposes, the first two questions are much more significant. But I am interested in your feelings and, by inference, your “taste” as well. Accordingly, if you were moved and/or amused by the production, say how and why. Likewise, if you were bored and/or insulted by the production, also say how and why. However, keep in mind that I do not necessarily regard your emotional responses as beyond comment. Not all responses may be equally insightful. One may be bored, for example, merely by one’s lack of perception. Similarly, one may be thrilled and/or entertained merely by seeing one’s friends on stage. At all events, do your best to convey your honest feelings to me, with as much objective data as you can muster in this admittedly subjective component.

Elaborate the strengths and weaknesses of the production in the above terms, with the following limitations:

a) You must write only about what is actually apparent in the production itself. Any special knowledge of the production’s intentions is irrelevant, except perhaps by way of further elaboration of an obvious fact of the production.

b) Do not belabor the acting of individual cast members, either positively or negatively. Try to keep your focus on the production as a whole, using individual cases only as examples of general points you wish to make. Consider the overall acting style in relationship to the production as a whole. Was it clear, consistent, and effective? Did it “work” in the particular context of this production?

c) You cannot expect to cover all aspects of the production, but you should say something about: i) the overall interpretation of the text ii) the visual elements (sets, costumes, lighting, props) iii) the overall style of the acting iv) any special features of the production, including music and choreography if they are significantly employed. You may wish to focus on one of two of these aspects, but you should include some mention of them all.
### Course Outline

**Instructor**

**Office**

**Email**

**Office Hours**

**Day(s), time(s) and location of Class**

**Learning resources:**
required readings, textbooks and materials

**Prerequisites**

**Course description**

**Course learning outcomes**

By the completion of this course, successful students will be able to:

1. 
2. 
3. 
4. 

**Course schedule**

**Assessment components**

**Assignment 1:**

**Assessment Method:**

**Description:**

**Weight:**

**Due Date:**

**Final Exam** (The dates, times and locations of final exams are scheduled by the Registrar during the final exam period)

**Assessment Method:**

**Description:**

**Weight:**

**Due Date:**

**Assessment expectations**

**Expectations for Writing:**

Writing skills are important to academic study across all disciplines. Consequently, instructors may use their assessment of writing quality as a factor in the evaluation of student work. Please refer to the Undergraduate Calendar E.2 Writing Across the Curriculum policy for details.

**Grading scale**

For the course as a whole, letter grades should be understood as follows, as outlined in the section F.1.1 Undergraduate Grading System of the Undergraduate Calendar for 2019-2020 OR as outlined in the section H.1 Distribution of Grades of the Graduate Calendar for 2019-2020:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Grade Point Value</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A+</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>Outstanding performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Excellent performance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-</td>
<td>Approaching excellent performance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B+</td>
<td>Exceeding good performance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Good performance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-</td>
<td>Approaching good performance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C+</td>
<td>Exceeding satisfactory performance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Satisfactory performance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-</td>
<td>Approaching satisfactory performance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*D+</td>
<td>Marginal pass. Insufficient preparation for subsequent courses in the same subject</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*D</td>
<td>Minimal Pass. Insufficient preparation for subsequent courses in the same subject</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Failure. Did not meet course requirements. Several Faculties utilize an F grade that does not carry weight in calculating the grade point average. This will be noted in the calendar description as “Not Included in GPA” where applicable.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**I</td>
<td>Incomplete. Sufficient work has not been submitted for evaluation, unable to adequately assess. May also be used when a final exam is not submitted.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR</td>
<td>Completed Requirements. Carries no weight in calculating the grade point average. This will be noted in the calendar description as “Not Included in GPA” where applicable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
- A grade of "C-" or below may not be sufficient for promotion or graduation, see specific faculty regulations.
- The number of "D" and "D+" grades acceptable for credit is subject to specific undergraduate faculty promotional policy.

Student Support
Please visit this link for a concise list of UCalgary's student support services: [https://www.ucalgary.ca/registrar/registration/course-outlines](https://www.ucalgary.ca/registrar/registration/course-outlines)

Academic Integrity, plagiarism
The University of Calgary is committed to the highest standards of academic integrity and honesty. Students are expected to be familiar with these standards regarding academic honesty and to uphold the policies of the University in this respect. Students are referred to the section on plagiarism in the University Calendar [ucalgary.ca/pubs/calendar/current/k-3.html](ucalgary.ca/pubs/calendar/current/k-3.html) [ucalgary.ca/pubs/calendar/current/k-5.html] and are reminded that plagiarism—using any source whatsoever without clearly documenting it—is an extremely serious academic offence. Consequences include failure on the assignment, failure in the course and possibly suspension or expulsion from the university. You must document not only direct quotations but also paraphrases and ideas where they appear in your text. A reference list at the end is insufficient by itself. Readers must be able to tell exactly where your words and ideas end and other people's words and ideas begin. This includes assignments submitted in non-traditional formats such as Web pages or visual media, and material taken from such sources. Please consult your instructor or the Student Success Centre (TFLD 3rd Floor) if you have any questions regarding how to document sources.

Internet and electronic communication device
[elearn.ucalgary.ca/category/d2l/](elearn.ucalgary.ca/category/d2l/) [ucalgary.ca/emergencyplan/emergency-instructions/uc-emergency-app](ucalgary.ca/emergencyplan/emergency-instructions/uc-emergency-app)
The in-class use of computers may be approved by your instructor. Cell phones and other electronic communication devices should be silenced or turned off upon entering the classroom. If you violate the instructor's policy regarding the use of electronic communication devices in the classroom, you may be asked to leave the classroom; repeated abuse may result in a charge of misconduct. No audio or video recording of any kind is allowed in class without explicit permission of the instructor. For more information on Freedom of Information and Privacy visit: [ucalgary.ca/legal/services/foip](ucalgary.ca/legal/services/foip)
| Copyright       | All students are required to read the University of Calgary policy on Acceptable Use of Material Protected by Copyright ([www.ucalgary.ca/policies/files/policies/acceptable-use-of-material-protected-by-copyright.pdf](https://www.ucalgary.ca/policies/files/policies/acceptable-use-of-material-protected-by-copyright.pdf)) and requirements of the copyright act ([https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/C-42/index.html](https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/C-42/index.html)) to ensure they are aware of the consequences of unauthorised sharing of course materials (including instructor notes, electronic versions of textbooks etc.). Students who use material protected by copyright in violation of this policy may be disciplined under the Non-Academic Misconduct Policy. |