AGENDA

ARTS FACULTY COUNCIL MEETING
TWENTY-EIGHTH MEETING OF THE COUNCIL (28.0)*
*Special Faculty Council meeting to make decisions as a result of the changes to the Assessment Agreement between TUCFA and the University of Calgary
Friday, September 8, 2017, 10:00am-11:30am
ST 147

Note: Quorum for this Faculty Council (FC) meeting was not achieved, and the Chair adjourned Faculty Council and referred the business of the meeting to the Faculty Council Committee, which was convened. Therefore, the proposed agenda for the Faculty Council meeting is the Faculty Council Committee meeting agenda.

The Chair called the meeting to order at 10:04am and confirmed quorum for the Faculty Council Committee and made the Treaty Acknowledgement.

FC28.1 Approval of the Agenda

Moved / Seconded Mary Pavelka / Frank Finley

That the Agenda for the September 8, 2017 Faculty Council Committee meeting be approved. Carried

FC28.2 Remarks from the Dean (Richard Sigurdson)

The Dean outlined the decisions to be voted on at the meeting.

The link to the Assessment Agreement was provided with the notification of the meeting (https://www.ucalgary.ca/hr/files/hr/merit-assessment-agreement.pdf - relevant sections YY.7.2b, YY.7.3 and YY.7.5).
**FC28.3 Decision 1:**

What should be the composition of the Faculty Merit Committee (FMC)?

**Option 1 -** Comprised of four (4) elected members (as per YY.7.2[b])

**OR**

**Option 2 -** Constituted with one (1) member elected from each Department/School (YY.7.3)

Motion to vote on Option 1 made by Hendrik Kray, Seconded by Jackie Jenkins.

Discussion:
- To ensure representation across the faculty, we should have a representative from each department and school
- What are the faculty guidelines
- Anessa Krysko provided clarification YY.7.2b
- There is too much difference between Option 1 (representation too small for a faculty of our size with the number of units we have) and Option 2 (representation from all units)

Vote called for on Option 1: Twenty-four (24) voted For, majority voted Against, therefore the Motion was defeated.

Motion to vote on Option 2, Moved by Bruce Barton, Seconded by Chris Sears.

Vote called for on Option 2: Majority voted For, therefore the Motion passed.

**FC28.4 Decision 2:**

Which assessments should be discussed by the Faculty Merit Committee?

**Option 1 -** Every academic staff member in the Faculty shall be considered by the FMC

**OR**

**Option 2 -** Only those cases where the Head/Director has recommended an increment of 0.6 or less, or 1.6 or greater shall be considered by the FMC.

*Note: Unless appealed, the recommendation of the Head shall be forwarded directly to the Dean who may increase but not lower the recommended increment [YY.7.5].*

The Chair outlined the choices.

Motion to vote on Option 2 made by Erin Gibbs Van Brunschot, Seconded by Mary Pavelka.

Vote called for on Option 2: Majority voted For, therefore the Motion passed.

Abstention: Olga Mladenova.

**FC28.5 Adjournment**

The Chair adjourned the meeting at 11:00am.